

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 003364.P001 S 05/15/98 KIM 09/029,042 **EXAMINER** HM12/1003 DEBERRY, R BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD 7TH FLOOR 1647 LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026 **DATE MAILED:** 10/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	·	09/029,042	KIM ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Regina M. DeBerry	1647
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Dariad fo	r Renly		
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Fair	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>06 August 2001</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the ments is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
۵۱۲	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	disapproved by the Examiner
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examinor.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required		
· i] The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to the condon 25 11 C (2 & 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 0.5.0	5. § 119(a)-(a) or (i).
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	and the same applicable	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.	Application No
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received if	es received in this National Stage
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nai Bureau (PCT Ruit 17.4)a.	1).
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- [a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	ge provisional application has	s been received.
Attachn			
1) 🛛 N	Intern(s) lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042

Art Unit: 1647

Detailed Action

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1647, Regina M.

DeBerry.

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

A restriction was made 15 June 1999 (Paper No. 5) where claims 1-21 were restricted to the following three groups: expression system/methods of making EPO claims 1-21; expression system/methods of making Factor VIII claims 18-21 and expression system/methods of making TPA claims 18-21. Applicant's election of Group I (claim 1-21, expression system/methods of making EPO) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The amendment filed 11 August 2000 (Paper No. 14) has been entered in full.

The amendment filed 20 December 2000 (Paper No. 20) has been entered in full. The amendment filed 19 March 2001 (Paper No. 22) has been entered in full.

The amendment filed 06 August 2001 has been entered in part. A restriction was made 06 August 2001 (Paper No. 26) where claims 1-21 were restricted to following species: Cell type: DE, CEF, QT; Promoter: SV40, HCMV, RSV LTR and Gene Sequence: SY, JM, SH, HE. Applicant's election of Cell type QT, Promoter HCMV MIEP and Gene Sequence SH (SEQ ID NO:5) in Paper No. 27 is acknowledged.

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042

Art Unit: 1647

Page 3

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-21 are pending and under examination. The amendment filed 06 August 2001 requested amendment to claims 24, 26 and 27. However, since no claims numbered 24, 26 or 27 are present in the application, this requested amendment was not entered.

Sequence Rules

The specification is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.821 (d) of the Sequence Rules and Regulations. When the description of a patent application discusses a sequence listing that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of the Sequence Rules and Regulations, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, in the text and claims of the patent application. The specification refers to sequences in Figures 5A-5H, 6 and pages 14 and 15 but does not identify the sequences by their sequence identifiers. Sequences appearing in drawings may be referenced in the drawings themselves or in the corresponding Brief Description thereof. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042

Art Unit: 1647

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 11-14,16,19, 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 2,5,6,8,11-14,16,19, 21 encompass non-elected inventions and require amendment to limit to elected invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 19 is drawn to the human heterologous protein production system of claim 18, wherein the human heterologous protein is selected from the group consisting of TPA, Factor VIII and EPO. Claim 19 does not further limit the claim of 18 which is drawn to the EPO production system of claim 14, wherein the DNA encoding EPO is selected from the group consisting of SY, JM, SH and HE, respectively described by SEQ ID NO:3, SEQ ID NO:4, SEQ ID NO:5 and SEQ ID NO:6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042

Art Unit: 1647

Claim 19 recites the limitation "human heterologous protein production system".

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1,7, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Donson *et al.* US Patent No. 6,284,492. Claim 1 is drawn to an EPO production system comprising a DNA encoding EPO; a vector for receiving the DNA; and an avian cell for harboring the vector. Claim 7 is drawn to a method for producing EPO comprising the steps of: inserting a DNA encoding an EPO into a vector; transfecting the vector into an avian cell; and culturing the transfected avian cell in media. Claim 15 is drawn to an avian cell as a host for expressing a gene encoding an EPO. Claim 20 is drawn to a method of producing a human heterologous protein comprising the steps of: inserting a DNA encoding a human heterologous protein into a vector; transfecting the vector into an avian cell; and culturing the transfecting avian cell in media. Donson *et al.* describes vectors, avian host cells, the production of various proteins such as EPO, human hemoglobin and methods of making these proteins. Please see reference

Art Unit: 1647

including abstract; column 1, lines 29-46; column 2, lines 58-67; column 20, lines 60-67; column 21, lines 1-25; column 51, lines 1-13 and 50-58 and claims.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Borden *et al.* US Patent No. 5,968,823. Claim 20 is drawn to a method of producing a human heterologous protein comprising the steps of: inserting a DNA encoding a human heterologous protein into a vector; transfecting the vector into an avian cell; and culturing the transfecting avian cell in media. Borden *et al.* discloses DNA encoding human heterologous proteins, vectors, avian (quail cells, QT-6) and the methods of making the proteins. Please see reference including abstract, column 2, lines 19-44; column 3, lines 42-63 and claims.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,7,15, 20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosselman *et al.* US Patent No. 5,162,215. Claim 1 is drawn to an EPO production system comprising a DNA encoding EPO; a vector for receiving the DNA; and an avian cell for harboring the vector. Claim 7 is drawn to a method for producing EPO comprising the steps of: inserting a DNA encoding an EPO into a vector; transfecting the vector into an avian cell; and culturing the transfected avian cell in media. Claim 15 is drawn to an avian cell as a host for expressing a gene encoding an EPO. Claim 20 is drawn to a method of producing a human heterologous protein comprising the steps of: inserting a DNA encoding a human heterologous protein into a vector; transfecting the

Art Unit: 1647

vector into an avian cell; and culturing the transfecting avian cell in media. Claim 21 is drawn to the method of claim 20, wherein the human heterologous protein is selected from the group consisting of TPA, Factor VIII and EPO. Bosselman *et al.* describes a production system that includes human EPO DNA, vector, avian host cells and a method of producing the human EPO protein. Please see reference including abstract, column 1, lines 1-26, column 2, lines 62-68; column 3, lines 65-68, column 6, line 8-14 and lines 18-68 and column 7, lines 44-46.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042 Page 8

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 2, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosselman et al. US Patent No. 5,162,215 and further in view of Borden et al. US Patent No. 5968,823. Claim 2 is drawn to the EPO production system of claim 1, wherein the avian cell is DE or CEF or QT. Claim 8 is drawn to the method of claim 7, wherein the avian cell is DE or CEF or QT. Claim 16 is drawn to the avian cell of claim 15, wherein the avian cell is DE or CEF or QT. Bosselman et al. teaches a production system that includes human EPO DNA, vector, avian host cells and a method of producing the human EPO protein. Bosselman et al. does not disclose avian cells which are QT (quail) cells. Borden et al. teaches DNA encoding various proteins, vectors, avian (quail cells, QT-6) and the methods of making the proteins. It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use avian cells such as QT because they are an accessible established cell line. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make that modification and have expected success because avian cells lines have been used to study various proteins.

Application/Control Number: 09/029,042

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina M. DeBerry whose telephone number is (703) 305-6915. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7939 for regular communications and (703) 308-2742 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

RMD

September 27, 2001

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER